Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





## Journal of Hazardous Materials

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jhazmat

## Phenols removal by immobilized horseradish peroxidase

### I. Alemzadeh\*, S. Nejati

Chemical and Petroleum Engineering Department, Sharif University of Technology, P.O. Box 11365-9465, Tehran, Iran

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 5 July 2007 Received in revised form 30 September 2008 Accepted 2 December 2008 Available online 7 December 2008

Keywords: Hydrogen peroxide

Horseradish peroxidase (HRP) Calcium alginate Encapsulation Phenol

#### ABSTRACT

Application of immobilized horseradish peroxidase (HRP) in porous calcium alginate (ca-alginate) for the purpose of phenol removal is reported. The optimal conditions for immobilization of HRP in caalginate were identified. Gelation (encapsulation) was optimized at 1.0% (w/v) sodium alginate in the presence of 5.5% (w/v) of calcium chloride. Upon immobilization, pH profile of enzyme activity changes as it shows higher value at basic and acidic solution. Increasing initial phenol concentration results in a decrease in % conversion. The highest conversion belongs to phenol concentration of 2 mM. Investigation into time course of phenol removal for both encapsulated and free enzymes showed that encapsulated enzyme had lower efficiency in comparison with the same concentration of free enzyme; however the capsules were reusable up to four cycles without any changes in their retention activity. Increasing enzyme concentration from 0.15 to 0.8 units/g alginate results in gradual increase in phenol removal. The ratio of hydrogen peroxide/phenol at which highest phenol removal obtained is found to be dependent on initial phenol concentration and in the solution of 2 and 8 mM phenol it was 1.15 and 0.94 respectively.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Enzymes as biocatalysts have been used in many biological reactions but they mostly suffer from certain disadvantages. Enzymatic removal of phenolic compounds have been investigated by many researchers and it has been shown that peroxidases are able to react with aqueous phenolic compounds and form non-soluble materials that could be easily removed from the aqueous phase [1–7]; however these processes suffer from enzyme inactivation.

Therefore attention came on immobilization of peroxidases for the purpose of phenolic compound removal. Among most abundant peroxidases investigated, horseradish peroxidase (HRP) has been successfully used to remove phenol from waste effluent and it is by far the most researched peroxidase. Using alternative peroxidase was also investigated due to need for cheaper catalyst and it has been shown that soybean peroxidase which is abundant in soybean seed hull can also remove phenolic compound from waste stream with acceptable removal efficiency comparable to HRP [8-10]. Media containing immobilized enzyme seems to be more suitable when large amount of wastewater need to be processed. Many materials and different methods have been used for HRP immobilization, glass beads, polymers, ion exchange resins, magnetite and aluminum-pillared clay [11–15].

Although immobilization highly improves HRP catalytic efficiency, the inactivation of the enzyme remains a major problem in

phenolic wastewater treatment. Some investigators attribute low removal efficiency of biocatalysts to the interaction between the phenoxy radicals and enzyme active site [16]. Besides, the hindrance effect of excess hydrogen peroxidase has been also reported [9]. To surmount this difficulty and minimize the enzyme inactivation some researchers introduced adding compound such as polyethylene glycol (PEG) to form a protective layer by which higher efficiency especially at low enzyme concentration obtained [17]. However, in accordance with the recent publication, a great part of PEG added to the reaction remained in the solution after separation of radicals [18]

In the present work, we attempted to use a new support for immobilization of HRP for the purpose of phenol removal from a synthetic wastewater. We used one step encapsulation method for immobilization of HRP in a semi permeable alginate membrane. The application of immobilized peroxidase for the removal of phenol from aqueous solution was studied at different enzyme, phenol and hydrogen peroxide concentrations. From the results obtained in the present work, the possibility of continuous phenol removal was shown to be promising.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Chemicals

Horseradish peroxidase, HRP (lyophilized powder, 200 unit mg^{-1}), Phenol 99% and  $H_2O_2$  30% (w/v) were purchased from Merck and also the analytical chemicals 4-aminoantipyrine (AAP) and potassium ferricyanide. Sodium alginate (rich in

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +98 2166165486; fax: +98 2166005417. E-mail address: alemzadeh@sharif.edu (I. Alemzadeh).

<sup>0304-3894/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.12.026



Fig. 1. Experimental setup of encapsulation preparation.

guluronic acid) from *Lamirania hyperborean* and calcium chloride hexahydrate were obtained from BDH (UK). Catalase enzyme from Aspergillus niger (EC.1.11.1.6) (lyophilized powder 2993 unit mg<sup>-1</sup>) was purchased from SERNA. Other chemicals were of analytical grade and were used without further purification.

#### 2.2. Enzyme encapsulation

The immobilization method was carried out according to the following steps.

Sodium alginate was dissolved in reagent water. For dissolving sodium alginate in water a backer equipped with a magnetic stirrer was used (Fig. 1). Dissolving process was so slow that it took up to 5 h for preparing a 2% (w/v) of alginate gel. For expelling air bubbles, occasional mixing was performed with a glass rod. After dissolving sodium alginate, the gel was stored in the room temperature followed by continuous stirring to obtain a homogenous gel. The gel was being stored in 4 °C for further usage. Calcium alginate capsules were prepared by extrusion using a simple one step process similar to that described by Nigma et al. [19]. Pre-determined enzyme was dissolved in 10 ml calcium chloride solution and was dropped through a silicon tube, using a peristaltic pump, into 100 ml of alginate solution. The sodium alginate solution was maintained under constant stirring (200 rev/min) using a magnetic stirrer situated at the bottom of the backer, in order to avoid the droplets sticking together and minimize the external mass transfer resistance.10 cm dropping height was chosen to obtain spherical capsule. After 20 min gelation time, the capsule was removed by dilution of alginate solution to 5 times with distilled water followed by filtration of capsules (Fig. 2).

#### 2.3. Protein determination

The amount of protein initially offered in the wash-liquid after encapsulation and the protein content in capsule after leakage test were obtained by Lowry's procedure as modified by Peterson [20].

#### 2.4. Enzyme encapsulation efficiency

To assess the enzyme encapsulation efficiency, it was necessary to measure HRP concentration both in calcium chloride solution and capsule. To measure the encapsulated enzyme concentration, capsules were cut in half and put in 5 ml phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) solution. The concentration of protein in buffer was measured according to Lowry's assay after 2 h in order to obtain encapsulated protein. The percentage of encapsulated enzyme was obtained from the difference between initial protein introduced to the calcium chloride hexahydate solution and protein in buffer measured as mentioned above.

#### 2.5. Enzyme leakage

Enzyme leakage measurement was carried out by placing capsules in a test tube filled with Tris buffer (pH 8.0) for 24 h. Then the capsules were removed, cut in half and put in phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) solution for 20 min and released protein concentration was measured according to the Lowry's assay and the leakage percentage was calculated from the differences between encapsulated protein at the beginning of time interval and the value found according to the above procedure divided to the encapsulated protein at the beginning.

#### 2.6. Analytical methods

#### 2.6.1. Activity measurement of free and immobilized enzymes

HRP activity was assessed by employing 4-aminoantipyrene method involving colorimetric estimation by using phenol and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as substrate and 4-aminoantipyrene (Am-NH<sub>2</sub>) as chromogen [21]. The assay was performed at 25 °C by adding phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) containing  $1.0 \times 10^{-2}$  M phenol,  $2.4 \times 10^{-3}$  M (Am-NH<sub>2</sub>) and  $2.0 \times 10^{-4}$  M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. The rate of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> consumption was estimated by measuring the absorption of the colored products at 510 nm.

#### 2.6.2. Phenol assay

Phenol concentration was determined using a colorimetric assay in which the phenolic compounds within a sample react with 2.08 mM AAP in the presence of 8.34 mM potassium ferricyanide reagent. The assay is valid if the phenol concentration does not exceed 0.12 mM in the assay mixture. Following the full development of the color after 9–10 min reaction time, the absorbance values at 510 nm were transformed to phenol concentration in the samples, obtained from aqueous solutions after immobilized HRP treatment, using a calibration curve.



Fig. 2. Photo of some capsules.

#### Table 1

Encapsulation efficiency, leakage percentage and retention activity of the capsules obtained under different gelation conditions.

Test 1	Sodium alginate concentration 0.5 % (w/v)			
Calcium chloride % (w/v)	1.3	2.25	4.5	5.5
Encapsulation %	$94\pm4$	$87\pm7$	$87\pm5$	$94\pm4$
Leakage %	$45\pm5$	$25\pm2$	$21\pm2$	$18\pm2$
Retention activity%	$20\pm 5$	$11\pm 5$	$8.5\pm2$	$8\pm 2$
Test 2	Sodium alginate concentration 0.75 $\%(w/v)$			
Calcium chloride % (w/v)	1.3	2.25	i 4.5	5.5
Encapsulation %	$68 \pm 3$	$91\pm3$	$85\pm5$	$89\pm2$
Leakage %	$50\pm4$	$29\pm3$	$20\pm5$	$14\pm3$
Retention activity%	$18\pm2$	$12\pm 5$	$9\pm 2$	$5\pm 2$
Test 3	Sodium alginate concentration 1 % (w/v)			
Calcium chloride % (w/v)	1.3	2.25	5 4.5	5.5
Encapsulation %	$70\pm2$	$71\pm5$	$85\pm4$	$95\pm2$
Leakage %	$39\pm4$	$33\pm5$	$8\pm3$	$4\pm 2$
Retention activity%	$13\pm3$	$9\pm3$	$5\pm 2$	$3\pm1$
Test 4	Sodium alginate concentration 2 $\%(w/v)$			
Calcium chloride % (w/v)	1.3	2.25	4.5	5.5
Encapsulation %	$75\pm4$	$81\pm3$	$90\pm 5$	$96\pm2$
Leakage %	$30\pm4$	$25\pm 5$	$6\pm 2$	$4\pm 2$
Retention activity%	$8\pm 2$	$5\pm1$	$6\pm1$	1

#### 2.7. Phenol removal studies

Experiments were conducted to assess the HRP catalyzed removal of phenol from aqueous phase by both free and immobilized enzyme to determine the time required for completion of enzymatic reaction and efficiency of removal. The experiments were carried out at 25 °C in 1 l backer equipped with magnetic stirrer. Phenol and buffer solution were introduced to reaction media followed by addition of enzyme and hydrogen peroxide. The samples from the reactor were poured into 1 ml of catalase solution to stop the reaction by breaking down the hydrogen peroxide.

Effect of parameters such as initial concentrations of phenol from 2 to 10 mM, hydrogen peroxide/phenol from 0.4 to 1.7, and enzyme amount from 0.15 to 1.6 unit/g alginate were studied on phenol removal (% conversion).

#### 3. Results and discussion

# 3.1. Effect of alginate and calcium chloride concentration on encapsulation

Different concentrations of sodium alginate and calcium chloride solution were used to obtain the optimal condition for producing biocatalysts, effective in phenol removal from aqueous phase. In order to find these concentrations three factors were taken into consideration: enzyme leakage, encapsulation and retention activity. The results demonstrate the influence of sodium alginate and calcium chloride concentration on the biocatalyst characteristics and are presented in Table 1. Irrespective of the alginate concentration, using high concentration of calcium chloride solution results in lower leakage percentage. According to Table 1 increasing alginate concentrations from 0.5% to 2%, leakage percent reduces. For instance, for 0.75% alginate and 1.3% calcium chloride leakage is 50% but for 2% alginate and the same amount of calcium chloride leakage is 30%. It has been also shown that the changes in enzyme retention activity were not significant due to the considerable variation in calcium chloride concentrations.

On the other hand, changing alginate solution concentration had a significant effect on enzyme retention activity and encapsulation efficiency. The higher the alginate concentration was, the lower



**Fig. 3.** Effect of pH on the activity of free and immobilized HRP. Free enzyme ( $\blacksquare$ ) Encapsulated HRP ( $\bullet$ ).

the retention activity obtained. It seems that the later is related to the diffusion constraint imposed by membrane layer. The best biocatalytic properties including lower enzyme leakage and higher enzyme encapsulation were achieved when the calcium chloride hexa hydrate and sodium alginate solution were 5.5 % (w/v) and 1.0% (w/v) respectively.

#### 3.2. Dependence of pH

The pH activity profile of free and encapsulated HRP was obtained by incubating both the free and immobilized enzyme at 25 °C for 15 min in 5 ml buffer solution followed by measuring the enzyme activity at 510 nm. Fig. 3 depicts the results of these measurements. Optimal pH for free enzyme is about 7.0 but for immobilized enzyme is about 8.0. The difference between optimal pH for free and immobilized enzyme is about 1 unit. This difference might be the result of interior microenvironment of capsule that is slightly cationic and separated from bulk with a semi-permeable membrane which is anionic in nature.

#### 3.3. Optimum contact time

Initially experiments were performed in order to assess the optimum contact time required for phenol removal. To a series of backers each one containing 100 ml of 2 mM phenol, 20  $\mu$ l hydrogen peroxide along with enzyme concentration (0.8 units/ml) were added and reaction media (25 °C, pH 8.0) was agitated for a period of 4 h. Every 20 min, a 1 ml sample was taken from solution and was analyzed for the residual phenol concentration. It was shown that 100 min is required to reach acceptable removal efficiency. Subsequent experiments were performed at a backer containing 100 ml phenol with definite concentration and lasted for 100 min. Further reactions with different phenol concentrations have shown that phenol removal follows the same trends (Fig. 4).

The phenol conversion against time was also studied for both encapsulated and free enzyme. Fig. 5 shows the comparison



Fig. 4. Time course of phenol conversion with 7.5 units/ml of encapsulated HRP for four different phenol concentrations. (A) 2 mM, (B) 4 mM, (C) 8 mM, (D) 10 mM.



**Fig. 5.** Comparing time course of phenol conversion of immobilized and free enzyme, phenol concentration 2 mM. Free enzyme ( $\bullet$ ) Encapsulated HRP ( $\blacksquare$ ).

between free and encapsulated enzyme phenol removal efficiency versus time. Studies on time course of phenol removal for both encapsulated and free enzyme showed that encapsulated enzyme had lower efficiency in comparison with the same concentration of free enzyme.

The phenol conversion against time was also studied for both encapsulated and free enzyme. Fig. 5 shows the comparison between free and encapsulated enzyme for phenol removal efficiency versus time which are near. Reaction profile of pchlorophenol removal with immobilized HRP on the other carrier APG, aminopropyl glass reached the optimal nearly after 100 min, but the percent removal was about 20% [16].

#### 3.4. Influence of enzyme concentration

Since the biocatalyst has a finite lifetime and also the conversion is found to be dependent on the contact time, normally removal of phenol is dependent on the amount of catalyst added. To study the effect of enzyme concentration on phenol removal, five different enzyme concentrations were used to compare the efficiency of encapsulated enzyme. The phenol and hydrogen peroxide concentration along with the physical condition of reaction remained unchanged (phenol concentration 2 mM, pH 8.0). Fig. 6 depicts the effect of enzyme dose on initial phenol concentration and differs from case to case. It is found that for a 2 mM phenol solution, increasing enzyme concentration from 0.15 units/g to 0.8 units/g results in gradual increase in phenol removal and after that nearly remained constant. Therefore, the remained phenol concentration, which is the difference between initial phenol and removal phe-



Fig. 6. Effect of encapsulated HRP dose on phenol removal.



**Fig. 7.** Effect of hydrogen peroxide concentration on maximum conversion reached at three different phenol concentrations: (A) 8 mM, (B) 5 mM and (C) 2 mM.

nol, decreases. Further increases in enzyme concentration have no significant effect on phenol removal. The enzyme concentration of 0.8 units/g was found to be the optimal dose for the experiment condition.

#### 3.5. Influence of hydrogen peroxide concentration

Increasing phenol removal percentage could be obtained by choosing an appropriate hydrogen peroxide concentration; therefore some authors introduced an optimal molar ratio of hydrogen peroxide to phenol resulting in higher removal efficiency [9,22]. it has been also described [23] that the optimum peroxide concentration is totally depends on initial phenol concentration and differ from case to case.

Several experiments were carried out by using three different phenol concentrations (2, 5 and 8 mM) and hydrogen peroxide varying from 200 to 950  $\mu$ l. In all assays, 0.8 unit/ml of enzyme was introduced to reaction medium. Fig. 7 shows the results obtained in these series of experiments, where the maximum conversion plotted against the ratio of hydrogen peroxide to phenol.

The behavior of the phenol removal efficiency was similar in all phenol concentrations. First, the amount of phenol removed was sharply increased with an increase in hydrogen peroxide up to an optimal point. It shows that hydrogen peroxide is a limiting factor in this range. Second, after phenol conversion reached its optimum point adding hydrogen peroxide significantly reduced the conversion. A reason for this phenomenon would be that an excess amount of hydrogen peroxide results in higher concentrations of intermediate products which inhibit the activity of enzyme, and/or that enzyme is inactivated by an excess of hydrogen peroxide. The deviation of the aforementioned ration might be the result of polymer produced in the catalytic process larger than dimmer [24].



**Fig. 8.** Reusability of capsules, phenol concentration 2 mM and enzyme content 7.5 units/ml.

#### 3.6. Reusability

The immobilized enzyme could be easily removed and assessed for its remained catalytic activity. To demonstrate the reusability of encapsulated enzyme, capsules were separated after 100 min of reaction time and then rinsed thoroughly with distilled water. The capsules used for subsequent batches. After 5 times of the repeated test, the phenol removal efficiency was reduced to half of its initial value (Fig. 8). The latter might be the result of plugging of the membrane pore and accumulating of radicals and dimmer in the interior environment of each capsule which entrapped the active site of enzyme or even enzyme molecules resulting in enzyme inactivation. Other investigators for immobilized HRP on the other carrier observed that 50% of the initial activity was lost after five cycles [16].

#### 4. Conclusion

The preparation and application of immobilized horseradish peroxidase in ca-alginate beads for phenol removal from aqueous solution was investigated. The experimental results obtained in the present work revealed the effectiveness of the encapsulated peroxidase in phenol removal. The performance of phenol removal was found to be highly dependent on phenol concentration, enzyme dose, hydrogen peroxidase and aqueous pH. The encapsulated enzyme activity shows higher relative activity in acidic and basic solutions which are the most common conditions appeared in waste stream. Enzyme retention activity, encapsulation and leakage percentage of enzymes are influenced by gel preparation condition and finding a proper value for above quantities totally depends on alginate species used. The reusability experiment showed that these biocatalysts can be used up to four cycles without serious deficiency in their catalytic performance.

#### References

- A.M. Kilbanov, B.N. Alberti, E.D. Morris, L.M. Felshin, Enzymatic removal of toxic phenols and aniline from wastewater, J. Appl. Biochem. 2 (1980) 414–421.
- [2] A.M. Kilbanov, T.M. Tu, K.P. Scott, Peroxidase-catalyzed removal of phenols from coal conversion wastewater, Science 221 (1983) 259–261.

- [3] A.M. Kilbanov, E.D. Morris, Horseradish peroxidase for the removal of carcinogenic aromatic amines from water, Enzyme Microb. Technol. 3 (1981) 119–122.
- [4] N. Singh, J. Singh, An enzymatic method for removal of phenol from industrial effluent, Prep. Biochem. Biotechnol. 32 (2002) 127–133.
- [5] V.A. Cooper, J.A. Nicell, Removal of phenols from a foundry wastewater using Horseradish peroxidase, Water Res. 30 (1996) 954–961.
- [6] E. Miland, R.S. Malcolm, O.F. Ciaran, Phenol removal with modified peroxidases, J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 67 (1996) 227–236.
- [7] M.D. Aitken, Wastewater treatment applications of enzymes: opportunity and obstacles, Chem. Eng. J. Biochem. Eng. J. 52 (1993) B49–58.
- [8] K. Wilberg, C. Assenhaimer, J. Rubio, Removal of aqueous phenol catalyzed by a low purity soybean peroxidase, J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 77 (2002) 851–857.
- [9] N. Caza, J.K. Bewtra, N. Biswas, K.E. Taylor, Removal of phenolic compound from synthetic wastewater using soybean peroxidase, Water Res. 33 (1999) 3012–3018.
- [10] H. Wright, J.A. Nicell, Characterization of soybean peroxidase for the treatment of aqueous phenols, Biores. Technol. 70 (1999) 69–79.
- [11] K. Tatsumi, S. Wada, H. Ichikawa, Removal of chlorophenol with immobilized Horseradish peroxidase, Biotechnol. Bioeng. 51 (1996) 126–130.
- [12] A.M. Azevedo, V. Vojinovic, J.M.S. Cabral, T.D. Gibson, L.P. Fonseca, Operational stability of immobilized horseradish peroxidase in mini-packed bed bioreactors, J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzyme. 28 (2004) 121–128.
- [13] K.F. Fernandes, C.S. Lima, H. Pinho, C.H. Collins, Immobilization of horseradish peroxidase onto polyaniline polymers, Process Biochem. 38 (2003) 1379–1384.
- [14] J. Cheng, S.M. Yu, P. Zuo, Horseradish peroxidase immobilized on aluminumpillared interlayered clay for the catalytic oxidation of phenolic wastewater, Water Res. 40 (2006) 283–290.
- [15] S.S. Caromori, K.F. Fernandes, Covalent immobilization of horseradish peroxidase onto poly(ethylene terephetalate)-poly (aniline) composite, Process Biochem. 39 (2004) 883–888.
- [16] Y.C. Lai, S.C. Lin, Application of immobilized horseradish peroxidase for the removal of p-chlorophenol from aqueous solution, Process Biochem. 40 (2005) 1167–1174.
- [17] S. Nakamoto, N. Machida, Phenol removal from aqueous solution by peroxidasecatalyzed reaction using additives, Water Res. 26 (1992) 49–54.
- [18] C. Kinsely, J.A. Nicell, Treatment of aqueous phenol with soybean peroxidase in the presence of polyethylene glycol, Biores. Technol. 73 (2000) 139–146.
- [19] S.C. Nigma, I.-F. Tsao, A. Sakoda, H.Y. Wang, Techniques for preparing hydrogel membrane capsule, Biotechnol. Technol. 2 (1988) 271–276.
- [20] G.L. Peterson, A simplification of the protein assay method of Lowry et al. that is more generally applicable, Anal. Biochem. 83 (1977) 346–356.
- [21] J.A. Nicell, H. wright, A model peroxidase activity with inhibition by hydrogen peroxide, Enzyme Microb. Technol. 21 (1997) 302–309.
- [22] Y. Wu, K.E. Taylor, N. Biswas, J.K. Bewtra, Comparison of additive in the removal of phenolic compound by peroxidase-catalyzed polymerization, Water Res. 31 (1997) 2699–2704.
- [23] Y. Wu, K.E. Taylor, N. Biswas, J.K. Bewtra, Kinetic model for removal of phenol by horseradish peroxidase with PEG, J. Environ. Eng. 125 (1999) 451–458.
- [24] J.A. Nicell, J.K. Bewtra, N. Biswas, C.S. Pierre, Enzyme catalyzed polymerization and precipitation of aromatic compound from wastewater, Can. J. Civ. Eng. 20 (1993) 725–735.